BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

				PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
			Date of mailing	·		
			(day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 belo			
	national application No. Γ/ΕΡ2005/001524	International filing date (c) 24.01.2005	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23.01.2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K9/16, A61K31/216, A61K31/155						
Applicant FOURNIER LABORATORIES IRELAND LIMITED						
	-					
1.	This opinion contains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:			
	☐ Box No. I Basis of the op	pinion				
	☐ Box No. II Priority					
	⊠ n n n n n n n n n n					
	Box No. III Non-establishr	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV Lack of unity o		ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity o ☐ Box No. V Reasoned stat	f invention	s.1(a)(i) with regard to	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity o ☐ Box No. V Reasoned stat	f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanation:	s.1(a)(i) with regard to	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
	 □ Box No. IV Lack of unity o □ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; ci □ Box No. VI Certain document □ Box No. VII Certain defects 	f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations ents cited s in the international app	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such star olication	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
	□ Box No. IV Lack of unity o □ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; ci □ Box No. VI Certain docum	f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations ents cited s in the international app	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such star olication	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
2.	 □ Box No. IV Lack of unity o □ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; ci □ Box No. VI Certain document □ Box No. VII Certain defects 	f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations ents cited s in the international app	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such star olication	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
2.	□ Box No. IV Lack of unity or Reasoned state applicability; circles Box No. VI Certain documer Box No. VII Certain defects □ Box No. VIII Certain observer FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international present the server box No. VIII Certain observer burther action international present the server box No. VIII Certain observer burther action international present the server box No. VIII Certain observer burther action international present the server box No. VIII Certain observer burther action international present the server box No. IV Lack of unity or Reasoned state applicability; circles applicability; circle	f invention ement under Rule 43bis tations and explanations ents cited s in the international apprations on the internation liminary examination is all Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such star dication nal application made, this opinion will g Authority ("IPEA"). It	novelty, inventive step or industrial tement I usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the		
2.	Box No. IV Lack of unity or Reasoned state applicability; circles Box No. VI Certain documed Box No. VII Certain defects Box No. VIII Certain observed Box	f invention ement under Rule 43bis tations and explanations ents cited is in the international apprations on the internation liminary examination is all Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written cove, considered to be a sy together, where appro-	s.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such star olication hal application made, this opinion will g Authority ("IPEA"). It is be the IPEA and the opinions of this Internativities opinion of the opiniate, with amendments	novelty, inventive step or industrial tement I usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the ational Searching Authority		
2.	Box No. IV Lack of unity or Reasoned state applicability; cides and some state application. Certain defects and some state application of the International preference applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided about the IPEA a written replacements from the date of mailing.	f invention ement under Rule 43bis tations and explanations ents cited is in the international apprations on the internation liminary examination is all Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written of eye, considered to be any together, where appropriate of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	s.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such star olication hal application made, this opinion will g Authority ("IPEA"). It is be the IPEA and the opinions of this Internativities opinion of the opiniate, with amendments	I usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the ational Searching Authority IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three		
2.	Box No. IV Lack of unity or Reasoned state applicability; circles Box No. VI Certain documed Box No. VII Certain defects Box No. VIII Certain observer FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international prelimitent opinion of the Internation the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided abore submit to the IPEA a written replimonths from the date of mailing whichever expires later.	f invention ement under Rule 43bis tations and explanations ents cited s in the international apprations on the internation liminary examination is all Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written of eye, considered to be any together, where appropriate of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	s.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such star olication hal application made, this opinion will g Authority ("IPEA"). It is be the IPEA and the opinions of this Internativities opinion of the opiniate, with amendments	I usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the ational Searching Authority IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Loher, F

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7839



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/001524

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in he language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material:
	☐ a sequence listing
	□ table(s) related to the sequence listing
	o. format of material:
	☐ in written format .
	☐ in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing:
	□ contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/001524

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application,					
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 21 and 22 (IA)					
bed	because:					
×	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 21 and 22 (IA) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
П	See senarate sheet for further	detek	ile			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-20,22-25

No:

Claims

21

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-20, 22-25

No: Claims

21

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-20, 23-25

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

 Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 21 and 22 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following documents (D) are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

- D1: WO 99/40904 A (MERCK PATENT GMBH ;BONHOMME YVES (FR); BRIET PHILIPPE (FR)) 19 August 1999 (1999-08-19)
- D2: US-A-6 074 670 (STAMM ANDRE ET AL) 13 June 2000 (2000-06-13)
- D3: US-A-4 895 726 (CURTET BERNARD ET AL) 23 January 1990 (1990-01-23)
- D4: DE SILVA S R ET AL: "Metformin and clofibrate in maturity onset diabetes mellitus: advantages of combined treatment" DIABETE & METABOLISME, MASSON, PARIS, FR, vol. 5, no. 3, 1 September 1979 (1979-09-01), pages 223-229, XP002083497 ISSN: 0338-1684
- D5: EP-A-1 424 070 (FOURNIER LABORATORIES IRELAND LIMITED) 2 June 2004 (2004-06-02)

If not mentioned otherwise, the relevant passages are those mentioned in the International Search Report.

Art 33(2) The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT, since the subject-matter of claim 21 is not new.

D1 discloses a pharmaceutical composition comprising metformin and fenofibrate or bezafibrate. Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 21 of the present application is not new in the light of D1.

The subject-matter of present claim 1 appears to be new in the light of the prior art. Prior art does not disclose a pharmaceutical composition comprising

- (a) particles of metformin and particles of a fibrate
- (b) in a combined amount of at least 50% by weight, based on the total weight of the composition
- (c) wherein the weight ratio of metformin to fibrate is between 500:90 and 850:35.
- Art 33(3) D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses the a granulate comprising metformin and fenofibrate and discloses the synergism of the two active ingredients.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as how to provide an imroved medicament comprising a fibrate and metformin.

The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT, since the subject-matter of claim 21 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Present claim 21 suggests to solve the problem posed by providing a pharmaceutical composition comprising metformin and fenofibrate. This has been anticipated by the prior art.

D2 discloses granulates comprising micronized fenofibrate having a dissolution profile of fenofibrate which is inferior to that of the present application.

D3 discloses granulates comprising fenofibrate that is co-micronized with

sodium laurylsulfate.

D4 discloses the pharmacological synergism between another fibrate (clofibrate) and metformin

In summary, prior art discloses pharmaceutical compositions comprising fibrates that are co-micronized with surfactant to achieve a particle size below 10 micrometers from which the present subject-matter differs in that the present composition comprises an combined amount of metformin and fibrate of at least 50% by weight and wherein the weight ratio of metformin to fibrate is between 500:90 and 850:35.

The present application provides reasonable *in vitro* data that addition of metformin in an amount and weight ratio as defined by present claim 1 to a composition comprising a fibrate results in an improved dissolution profile of the fibrate. This could not have been derived from the prior art.

It is therefore noted that present claims 1-20 and 22-25 are considered as

Art 33(4) For the assessment of the present claims 21 and 22 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

being inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The subject-matter of claims 1-20 and 23-25 is considered to be industrially applicable in the sense of Art 33(4) PCT.

Re Item VI

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/001524

Certain documents cited

D5 discloses pharmaceutical compositions comprising metformin and fenofibrate.